

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/636,108 | 08/10/2000 | Erik M. Theisen | GLNPIN114873 6358 | |
| 75 | 90 08/13/2004 | EXAMINER | | |
| | EN O'CONNOR JOHN | CHOW, MING | | |
| Suite 2800 1420 Fifth Aven | nue | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Seattle, WA 98101 | | | 2645 | 15- |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004 | , () |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| t | _ | | | | 1 |
|--|--|---|---|--|-----------|
| v. ' | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | 7 |
| Office Action Summary | | 09/636,10 | 8 | THEISEN ET AL. | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | | Ming Cho | | 2645 | |
| The MAILIN Period for Reply | G DATE of this communication | on appears on the | cover sheet with the | correspondence addres | S |
| A SHORTENED S' THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS f - If the period for reply sp - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within th Any reply received by th | TATUTORY PERIOD FOR R TE OF THIS COMMUNICATI be available under the provisions of 37 Orom the mailing date of this communicati ecified above is less than thirty (30) days specified above, the maximum statutory ie e set or extended period for reply will, by e Office later than three months after the stment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no everon. s, a reply within the statuperiod will apply and wind statute, cause the apply | ent, however, may a reply be tile story minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE | mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication. |
| Status | • | | | | |
| 1) Responsive | to communication(s) filed on | 20 January 200 | 4 . | | |
| 2a) This action is | • • | This action is n | - | | |
| | plication is in condition for all cordance with the practice un | • | • • | | rits is |
| Disposition of Claims | ; | | | | |
| 4a) Of the ab 5) ○ Claim(s) 6,8- 6) ○ Claim(s) 1-5, 7) □ Claim(s) □ 8) □ Claim(s) □ Application Papers 9) □ The specifica 10) □ The drawing(| 2 is/are pending in the applic ove claim(s) is/are with 10,15,16,22,24-26,31 and 32,7,11-14,17-21,23,27-30 is/are is/are objected to are subject to restriction at tion is objected to by the Example so filed on is/are: a) anot request that any objection to | thdrawn from cor 2 is/are allowed. re rejected. and/or election re aminer. accepted or b) | equirement. □ objected to by the | | |
| Replacement | drawing sheet(s) including the c eclaration is objected to by the | correction is require | ed if the drawing(s) is ob | jected to. See 37 CFR 1. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S. | C. § 119 | | | | |
| a) All b) S 1. Certific 2. Certific 3. Copies applica | nent is made of a claim for fo Some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority docu ed copies of the priority docu s of the certified copies of the ation from the International B ed detailed Office action for | ments have been ments have been priority docume dureau (PCT Rule | n received. n received in Applicat nts have been receive e 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stag | je |
| Attachment(s) | 01. 1 (070 000) | | »□ | | |
| | n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S | • | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | |) |

Art Unit: 2645

Reopening of Prosecution After Appeal

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 1-20-04, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED.

New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 6, 8-10, 15-16, 22, 24-26, 31-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Regarding claims 6, 22, the prior art does not teach, on a cordless telephone, a vibratory supervisory signal is sent from the base unit

Art Unit: 2645

to the handset. Regarding claims 8, 24, the prior art does not teach, on a cordless telephone, a supervisory signal operates at variable intervals on the handset. Regarding claims 15, 31, the prior art does not teach stopping the repositioning at the beginning of the message and playing a message envelop before playing the message from the beginning.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 11, 12, 14, 17-19, 21, 23, 27, 28, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bertocci (US: 5953656), and in view of AT&T Answering System 1721 Owner's Manual and further in view of Chamberlin (US: 4309571).

Regarding claims 1, 17, Bertocci teaches on Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 a portable handset and a base unit with TAD (the TAD of Bertocci reads on the claimed VMS) circuits.

Bertocci teaches on column 10 line 32-42 the TAD control functions, including playing message, can be entered from the keypad of the handset (Note: Bertocci's handset is a cordless telephone which reads on the claimed telephone). It is inherent that the keypad generates a "start" command to activate the "play message" feature.

Bertocci fails to explicitly teach a "stop" command is generated from the handset for stopping the "play message" feature. However, using telephone keypad to generate control signal

Art Unit: 2645

for remotely stopping the playing feature of an answering machine was old and well known feature. The Owner's Manual of AT&T Answering System 1721 teaches that a remote caller can press "#" from his telephone to stop the message playback of the remote answering machine (see page 13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Bertocci such that the handset user can generate a "stop" command from the handset to stop the message playback in the base unit. The modification frees the handset user to hear the entire message.

Bertocci further fails to teach "providing feedback to the user via a supervisory signal during repositioning". In other words, Bertocci does not teach that a display is used to show the progress of repositioning during playing of a message in the base unit. However, Chamberlin teaches on column 4 line 12-40 a telephone answering device and/or dictation unit with index, or cursor indication, or LED, or LCD to indicate the repositioning. Chamberlin further teaches a display (unit 24, Fig. 1) has cursor for indicating the movement of the tape when the tape is advanced from one end toward the other. Since using indicator to indicate the position of a message or a tape is very old and well known and such indicator was widely used in "playback" feature, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Bertocci as modified by the AT&T Owner's Manual above and further to have a display as taught by Chamberlin in the base unit of Bertocci such that the user of Bertocci can know how far the message has been announced during the "playback" of a message. Such modification further provides additional information to the user to realize how much memory space has been used.

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claims 2, 18, Bertocci teaches that the TAD commands for "playing message" are entered via the keypad.

Regarding claims 3 & 19, the modified Bertocci as stated in the rejections for claim 1 & 17 above inherently has the claimed keyed "stop" command (see page 13, "#" of AT&T Answering System 1721 Owner's Manual).

Regarding claims 5, 21, the modified Bertocci as stated in the rejections for claims 1 & 17 above inherently has the claimed limitation because the LCD, LED or the cursor (see col. 4 lines 25-28 of Chamberlin) are the claimed visual signal.

Regarding claims 14, 30, the modified system of Bertocci in view of AT&T Answering System 1721 Owner's Manual, and Chamberlin as stated in claim 1 above failed to teach "the repositioning comprises rewinding". However, this feature is very old and common. See page 13, left column, the "2" command teaches the "rewinding" feature. Thus, it would be obvious to further modify Bertocci to allow the handset user to remote activate the rewinding feature of the base unit. The modification gives additional control feature, i.e., remote control to the handset user such that the user does not need to always next to the TAD.

Regarding claim 7 & 23, Chamberlin displays the indicator by LED and LCD during the whole (fix) interval of playback.

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claims 11 & 27, "fast forward". See page 13, the skip message command.

Regarding claims 12 & 28, the Manual teaches the "skip" control command which is obvious to provide in the handset of Bertocci for freeing the user to hear the entire message.

4. Claims 4, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bertocci (US: 5953656), and in view of AT&T Answering System 1721 Owner's Manual, and Chamberlin, and further in view of Rosen et al (US: 5784436).

Bertocci in view of AT&T Answering System 1721 Owner's Manual and Chamberlin as stated in claim 1 above failed to teach "the supervisory signal is an aural signal". However, Rosen et al teach on column 1 line 22-24 a warning beep (claimed "aural signal") to indicate the conversation is being recorded.

It would have been obvious to one skilled at the time the invention was made to modify Bertocci, AT&T Answering System 1721 Owner's Manual, Chamberlin to have aural signals as taught by Rosen et al such that the modified system of Bertocci, AT&T Answering System 1721 Owner's Manual, Chamberlin would be able to comply with the law to inform the other party of the call that the conversation is being recorded.

5. Claims 13, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bertocci (US: 5953656), and in view of AT&T Answering System 1721 Owner's Manual, Chamberlin, Ireton (US: 5970447).

Art Unit: 2645

Bertocci in view of AT&T Answering System 1721 Owner's Manual, Chamberlin, as stated in claims 12 and 28 above failed to teach "providing a signal that the end of the message has been reached". However, the end of message signal were very old in the art of telephone answering machine. For example Ireton teaches on column 1 line 21-23 that the end of message signal is used to enable the TAD to detect the end of message. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled at the time the invention was made to modify Bertocci, AT&T Answering System 1721 Owner's Manual, Chamberlin, and further modify Bertocci's TAD to generate the end of message signal as taught by Ireton such that the TAD of Bertocci can immediate detect the end of the message such that the TAD can stop the playback feature – a way of prevent overload the TAD.

6. Claims 1 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilcox et al (US: 6404856), and in view of Greco et al (US: 5568540).

Wilcox teaches a telephone (see Fig. 2 and col. 4 line 9-11) with a voice message system (see Fig. 1, 3 and col. 3 line 41-42, col. 5 line 65 – col. 6 line 6). Wilcox teaches that an audio bar (col. 2 line 62) is used to show the progress of an incoming message during a recording mode (col. 4 line 35-42). Wilcox further teaches that the recorded message can be retrieved for playback (col. 6 line 31-53) by using the telephone (Note, the start/stop playback commands are generated by pressing the buttons on Fig. 3 which is on the telephone as shown in Fig. 2). What Wilcox fails to show is that the audio bar is used to show the position of a recorded message during the message is in playback mode. However, Greco at Fig. 3 shows that an audio time line bar is used as a progress indicator during a message playback mode (col. 5 line 50-55). Further,

Art Unit: 2645

the benefit of using the timeline bar is obvious. The person who hears the message knows how

long is the message such that he may terminate a long message. Therefore, it would be obvious

to modify Wilcox such that when a message is being announced, the audio bar as taught by

Greco will be used to show the "repositioning" information.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not replied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

• Todd (US: 4645875) teaches telephone answering programming devices.

8. Any inquiry concerning this application and office action should be directed to the

examiner Ming Chow whose telephone number is (703) 305-4817. The examiner can normally

be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner

by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang, can be reached on (703)

305-4895. Any inquiry of a general mature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-

0377. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to Central FAX Number 703-872-9306.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2645

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2645

Ming Chow

FAN TSANG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Ja h